



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/734,467 12/12/2003 | | Joseph A. Fader | 60130-1901;03MRA0389/90/9 9593 | | |
| 26096 | 7590 08/03/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. | SPISICH, GEORGE D | | | |
| 400 WEST M. SUITE 350 | APLE ROAD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | M, MI 48009 | | 3616 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006 | ξ. | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 67 | FADER ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | | r | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | George D | . Spisich | 3616 | | | | | |
| The MAILIN Period for Reply | NG DATE of this communic | ation appears on th | e cover sheet with the | correspondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time mar after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the | CTATUTORY PERIOD FOLLONGER, FROM THE MA be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this commur is specified above, the maximum statu he set or extended period for reply wi he Office later than three months afte ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ication. tory period will apply and w II, by statute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | v | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive | to communication(s) filed | on 30 May 2006. | | | | | | | |
| • | a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claim | s | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> | ○ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the a | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u> | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) | are subject to restricti | on and/or election | requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| . Applicant ma | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S | S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | fied copies of the priority d | | | tion No | | | | | |
| 3.∐ Copid appli | es of the certified copies of cation from the Internation | f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru | ents have been receivile 17.2(a)). | ved in this Nationa | l Stage | | | | |
| * See the attac | ched detailed Office action . | for a list of the cer | tified copies not receiv | /ed. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of Reference | | | 4) Interview Summa | | • | | | | |
| | on's Patent Drawing Review (PT ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P tte | | Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal (6) Other: | | O-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 496 949 (cited in Applicant's IDS).

EP '949 discloses a stabilizer bar assembly comprising a stabilizer bar (9) and an anti-shift collar (11 and 11a) formed onto/built up the stabilizer bar. Applicant is informed again that the method of forming, in the instant applicant, thermally forming or application of a molten material, the device, is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight in this rejection. Examiner must only provide the structure present in the final product. The method of making the final product is made is not given patentable weight in apparatus claims. Applicant has claimed a stabilizer bar with an anti-shift collar.

EP '949 discloses the anti-shift collar comprises an "array" of raised spots or lines, as there are a plurality of raised portions that make up the anti-shift collar that are broadly considered "spots" or "lines". Furthermore, the anti-shift collar includes a raised

Art Unit: 3616

line, which is one of the raised portions, extending about a circumference of the stabilizer bar.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that EP '949 does not (due to not having an English translation) disclose that the raised array anti-shift collar is not thermally spray "onto" the stabilizer bar or "locally applied" on the outer surface of the bar. Examiner has requested a complete translation of EP '949 but it is not available at this time. However, Examiner has included an English Abstract of the document. This reference is not relied upon for teaching the method of making the anti-shift collar "onto" the stabilizer bar. The method of making a product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself. The EP '949 need not-disclose any method as claimed by Applicant to make the anti-shift collar since this limitation has not been given patentable weight and therefore it is proper to maintain the rejection as EP '949 discloses the claimed structure of Applicant's invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dodak (USPN 5,707,049), Muzio et al. (USPN 5,884,926).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-6676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 to 6:30 except alt. Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George D. Spisich

July 30, 2006

∕ DÄVIDÅ. DUNN PRIMARY EXAMINEI

7/31/06